Clinical–Epidemiological Characteristics of the First Patients Diagnosed with COVID-19 in Cuba

Niurka Molina-Águila MD MS, Elba Cruz-Rodríguez MD MS, Rita M. Ferrán-Torres MD MS, Belkys M. Galindo-Santana MD MS PhD, Yanaris López-Almaguer MD MPH, Marcy Calderón-Martínez MD MPH, Manuel Romero-Placeres MD PhD

ABSTRACT
INTRODUCTION COVID-19 is caused by the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 and was declared a pandemic on March 11, 2020, the same day that the first cases in Cuba were diagnosed. In Cuba, all confirmed cases of COVID-19 were hospitalized from this point forward.

OBJECTIVE Characterize the first patients diagnosed with COVID-19 in Cuba.

METHODS We carried out a descriptive, cross-sectional study of 415 suspected cases of COVID-19 admitted to the Pedro Kouri Tropical Medicine Institute in Havana, Cuba, from March 11, 2020 through April 10, 2020. (In Cuba, all patients suspected of being COVID-19–positive were admitted to hospitals or isolation centers for observation and treatment.) Of these 415 individuals, 63 (15.2%) tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. Information was obtained from the Institute’s databases as well as a standardized interview form for cases confirmed or suspected as infected with the novel coronavirus. We considered the following variables: age, sex, occupation at the time of interview, national origin, personal health history, time elapsed between symptom onset and hospital admission, signs and symptoms, diagnosis and status at discharge. We based our analysis on frequency distributions and double-entry contingency tables.

RESULTS The mean age was 50 years (range: 16–94 years). The 45–54 age group represented the largest share of cases (25.4%; 16/63); persons aged ≥65 years were 20.6% (13/63); there were more men than women were affected, and comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes, asthma and hypertension. Age of deceased patients ranged from 54 to 87 years.

CONCLUSION The first patients diagnosed with COVID-19 in Cuba were admitted to the Pedro Kouri Tropical Medicine Institute in Havana. They share characteristics with those reported by other countries: more men than women were affected, and comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes and asthma were all important risk factors, as was age ≥65 years. More than half of all cases were imported, and autochthonous patients were all contacts of confirmed cases.
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INTRODUCTION
The current pandemic has resulted from COVID-19, an infectious respiratory disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, and is characterized by rapid spread and high mortality.[1,2] Clinically, COVID-19 has been accompanied most often by fever, cough, dyspnea and pneumonia, pans of chest radiography.[3,4]

WHO declared the novel coronavirus a pandemic on March 11, 2020, due to its spread by that month to 58 other countries in different regions of the world, including the Americas.[1] The pandemic’s evolution, in terms of incidence rates, mortality and rapid expansion, constitutes a challenge for countries’ health and epidemiological surveillance systems, which must improve their capacity to detect cases and analyze the massive amounts of data generated. At the time this article was written the number of infected individuals has exceeded 88 million worldwide, with more than 1 million deaths to date in 218 countries and territories.[5–7]

COVID-19 surveillance began in Cuba in January 2020. The first cases, three Italian tourists, were confirmed at the Pedro Kouri Tropical Medicine Institute (IPK) in Havana, Cuba, on March 11, 2020. The pre-epidemic phase was declared in the country on March 27, beginning with a local transmission event in Matanzas province. During a pre-epidemic phase confirmed cases are travelers from affected countries and their local contacts. The limited autochthonous transmission phase was declared on April 7, 2020.[8] In this phase, cases in which it is not possible to establish a link with travelers from affected areas are confirmed, reported, and contact tracing is initiated.

The objective of this study is to describe the first patients admitted to IPK with suspected COVID-19, who were confirmed COVID-19–positive between March 11 and April 10., three days
after the limited autochthonous transmission phase was declared. This paper aims to encourage current working groups’ efforts to analyze the beginning of the pandemic and provide a historical reference for studying the pandemic’s evolution in Cuba.

METHODS

Study type and participants We carried out a descriptive, cross-sectional study whose universe consisted of all persons suspected of having COVID-19 (n = 415) who were admitted to IPK from the beginning of the pandemic on March 11, 2020—following identification of the first cases in Cuba, which occurred on the same date—until April 10, 2020. The study group consisted of 63 patients who were diagnosed as COVID-19–positive (15.2%).

Variables

Age The sample was split into the following age groups: 15–24, 25–34, 35–44, 45–54, 55–64 and ≥65 years.

Sex Male, female.

Occupation at time of COVID-19 diagnosis Employment, activity or profession.

National origin From Cuba or from abroad (including Cuban nationals living abroad).

Personal health history Coded dichotomously, the category was ‘yes’ if the patient had a history of respiratory disease (bronchial asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease); cardiovascular disease (hypertension, ischemic heart disease); diabetes or other comorbidities referenced in the anamnesis of the patient’s medical history. The category was marked as ‘no’ if no pre-existing conditions were mentioned.

Signs and symptoms Also coded dichotomously: ‘yes’ for presence of fever, cough, shortness of breath (dyspnea), headache, myalgia, arthralgia, asthenia, vomiting, diarrhea or other symptoms; and ‘no’ if the patient was asymptomatic at the time of admission.

Time elapsed between symptom onset and first medical visit ≤24 hours, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 4–6 days, ≥7 days.

Diagnosis

Suspected case: if patient presented with fever, acute respiratory disease, a history of travel to countries with local COVID-19 transmission, or had contact with a confirmed case or a case under investigation up to 14 days before symptom onset. Also considered suspected cases were those who died from a severe acute respiratory infection of unknown etiology.

Confirmed case: if patient met the operational definition of a suspected case and had had SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) at the IPK National Reference Laboratory.

Severity

Severe: confirmed positive for SARS-CoV-2 (by RT-PCR) and presenting with acute respiratory distress syndrome, septic shock, metabolic acidosis or coagulation disorders (prognosis varied from recovery, in many cases, to torpid evolution and death).

RESULTS

Of the 415 suspected cases, 63 patients were confirmed to be COVID-19–positive. This was a rate from one to five cases confirmed per day, with an average of two cases per day. Male patients predominated over female at 55.6% (35/63).

Patients’ mean age was 50.6 years (range: 16–94 years), with the largest number concentrated in the 45–54 age group (25.4%; 16/63). Patients ≥65 years represented 20.6% (13/63) of the total (Figure 1). There was one pediatric case, aged 16 years (1.5%).

At the time of diagnosis, patient occupations included actors, construction workers, athletes, drivers, teachers, students, self-employed, retirees and public administration employees, but the highest proportion of cases were healthcare workers in direct patient care (17.5%; 11/63; 10 doctors and 1 nurse). Foreign travelers made up 12.7% (8/63); 6 of the 8 were tourists (Figure 2).

Cuban citizens made up 52.4% (33/63) of cases, and were from 7 of the country’s provinces. The highest number of positives were from Havana Province (87.9%), followed by Artemisa (6.0%), Villa Clara (6.3%) and Sancti Spíritus (3.2%), and then Santiago de Cuba.
Matanzas and Pinar del Río (at 1.6% each). Source of infection could be identified in 100% of Cuban patients, as they either had a history of travel to countries where COVID-19 was present or had had contact with travelers (mainly from Spain, the United States, Mexico, Italy and Russia), with symptom onset that either preceded their arrival in Cuba or began ≥48 hours after their arrival.

Of the 30 non-Cuban citizens, 5 were from Italy, 5 from Spain, 4 from Canada, 3 each from Russia and China, and 1 each from 10 other countries (Belgium, Bolivia, Colombia, Panama, Ecuador, France, Peru, Tanzania, United States and Mexico).

Patients traveling from abroad were admitted to IPK <72 hours after arrival in Cuba. Since they came from countries where the SARS-CoV-2 virus was already present, theirs were considered ‘imported cases’ (54.0%; 34/63).

Pre-existing comorbidities were present in 44.4% (28/63) of patients; the most frequent were hypertension, asthma, diabetes mellitus and ischemic heart disease (Figure 3).

Symptoms were present in 74.6% (47/63) of patients at the time of admission; the most common were cough and fever. The remaining 25.4% (16/63) were asymptomatic (Figure 4).

Of symptomatic patients, 53% (25/47) were admitted within the first 72 hours of symptom onset; 47% (22/47) were admitted later (Figure 5).

Mean hospital stay was 13.7 days (range: 1–27 days). Most patients (92%; 58/63) recovered completely and were discharged, remaining under epidemiological surveillance at the primary care level for 14 days. Two foreign travelers were evacuated to their countries of origin (Canada and the United States).

Five patients died, for an initial fatality rate of 7.9%: three non-Cubans (one each from Italy, Spain and Russia) and two Cubans. Among the deceased, 80% were men aged between 54 and 87 years, all of whom had pre-existing comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, asthma and hypertension). These patients presented with a cough and a sore throat, 60% were admitted ≥72 hours after symptom onset, 4 were infected outside Cuba, and 1 was a contact of a previously confirmed case.

Wide confidence intervals did not allow for conclusive inferences, but age of ≥65 years, male sex, occupation in the health professions working in direct patient care, asthma and diabetes mellitus showed striking correlations with COVID-19–positivity (Table 1).
**DISCUSSION**

Results for sex and age variables showed similarities with other studies of confirmed COVID-19 cases. Male sex was slightly more frequent in this study, in agreement with other studies of COVID-19 conducted in Cuba.[10,11] In a press report by Carmona and Fariñas, men accounted for 50.7% of the 564 cases confirmed by the end of the first month of the epidemic in Cuba.[12] However, the Carmona–Fariñas press report showed that 13.9% of those affected were children,[12] while the IPK sample of 415 cases had only one pediatric patient (a 16-year old).

Age distributions have been variable, with a general trend of fewer cases among those <45 years of age, as was observed in our study, and more cases occurring in those ≥45 years. Li found a similar result in Wuhan, China, in the first 425 confirmed COVID-19 cases; a median age of 59 years, 56% male, and no initial cases <15 years of age.[13] Sun found an average age of 46 years, 55% male, and 3% <15 years old in the first 507 cases confirmed in China between January 13 and January 31, 2020.[14] The WHO mission in China examined 55,924 confirmed COVID-19 cases, finding 51% male, with a median age of 51 years and cases concentrated in the age range of 30–69 years.[15] A report by Spain’s National Epidemiology Center examining 18,608 cases found age and sex profiles similar to those observed in China: a median age of 58 years (with an interquartile range of 43–74 years), 51% of whom were male.[16]

Given that both SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV showed high intra-hospital transmission, health personnel involved in direct patient care were considered a high risk group.[17] In our study, medicine and nursing were the most common occupations among COVID-19–positive patients. By February 14, 2020, WHO had already reported 1716 confirmed cases among health workers in China (3.4% of all cases) and 6 deaths.[18] On April 17, 2020, the Cuban Minister of Public Health reported that 92 health workers had tested positive for SARS-CoV-2; 47 (51%) physicians and 30 (32.6%) nurses (no deaths).[19] As health workers face the highest risk of infectious disease in the course of their professional duties, precautions must be taken at both the individual level (personal protective equipment, or PPE) and the institutional level to minimize risk of intra-hospital spread and to protect health workers and those around them.[20]

Persons with pre-existing comorbidities are at increased risk for developing severe forms of COVID-19. In this study, 44% of confirmed cases and 100% of deceased individuals had pre-existing comorbidities, the most frequent being hypertension, asthma and diabetes mellitus. Yang found similar results in a systematic review of 8 studies involving 46,248 COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China. Hypertension was the most common comorbidity (95% CI: 14–22), followed by diabetes mellitus (95% CI: 6–11), cardiovascular disease (95% CI: 4–7) and respiratory disease (95% CI: 1–3).[21]

SARS-CoV-2 patients present a wide array of clinical symptoms, ranging from asymptomatic or oligosymptomatic to severe and sometimes fatal pneumonia.[22] In our study, 75% of confirmed cases presented clinical manifestations at the time of admission, with cough and fever the most common, followed by sore throat, headache, asthenia and shortness of breath. The remaining 25% were asymptomatic. Yang’s systematic review of COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China, found similar results: the most common clinical manifestation was fever (95% CI: 21–40), followed by cough (95% CI: 59–76), fatigue (95% CI: 34–66) and dyspnea (95% CI: 21–40).[21] Another study, this one of patients admitted to the Wuhan University’s Zhongnan Hospital between December 24, 2019 and February 24, 2020, found only 57.7% of patients were asymptomatic, with the most common symptoms being fever, fatigue and a dry cough.[22]

Research involving the first 76 patients studied in Mexico in February 2020 found only 20% of confirmed patients to be asymptomatic (the remainder had mild symptoms).[17] The Diamond Princess cruise ship, long quarantined in Japan, screened 3700 passengers, finding that 50% (96) of the 192 patients who tested positive were initially asymptomatic.[23] After 14 days of observation, most COVID-19–positive passengers developed symptoms, reducing the share of truly asymptomatic patients to 18% (95% CI: 15.5–20.2). These results differ from...
those published by China’s Center for Disease Control of 72,314 cases, of which only 1.2% were asymptomatic.[24] Spain’s Health Awareness Agency stresses the importance of accounting for asymptomatic COVID-19–positive individuals in designing and implementing infection prevention and control measures, given their impact on disease transmission. The Agency developed guidelines based on a systematic review of 24 studies.[25] Cereza’s study in Lombardy, Italy, found no statistically significant difference in viral loads taken from nasal swabs of asymptomatic and symptomatic patients, suggesting both groups had the same potential for transmitting the virus. However, contact tracing identified limited numbers of asymptomatic-infected patients, suggesting they may play a minor role in the overall spread of infection.[26] Additional research, including seroprevalence studies in the general population, will be necessary to accurately assess the role asymptomatic individuals play in SARS-CoV-2 transmission.

Epidemiologically, identifying and notifying suspected cases is an essential component of any disease surveillance system, as it demonstrates the transmissibility of infectious disease and can lead to a decrease in serious cases and deaths. In this study, 47% of suspected cases were admitted to health services more than 72 hours after symptom onset, despite media campaigns requesting that individuals seek out care in a timely manner and the existence of free universal health care. These findings are consistent with a retrospective study of 249 patients admitted to a hospital in Shanghai, 94.3% (235) of whom experienced symptom onset an average of 4 days prior to hospitalization (range: 2–7 days).[27] The case fatality rate in this study (7.9%) was higher than that reported earlier in the whole country during the beginning of the epidemic (2.6%).[12] This was much lower than the rate recorded during a similar period in the largest study of hospitalized COVID-19 patients in the USA, which was roughly 25%.[28] High case fatality rates may imply undetected transmission and an underestimation of the epidemic, indicating a need to improve epidemiological surveillance systems.[29] However, the underestimated value could be used to estimate the real number of infected individuals.[30] In summary, this study showed the presence of disease in these first cases in Cuba was related to age ≥65 years, male sex, occupation as a health worker engaged in direct patient care, and pre-existing comorbidities including hypertension, bronchial asthma and diabetes mellitus. This is in keeping with Wyant’s systematic review, where significant correlates of infection included older age, male sex, pre-existing comorbidities, previous hospital admissions and negative social determinants of health.[30]

As this study includes only those patients admitted to IPK, the sample size is not very large, and the conclusions we can draw are limited. However, despite this and the fact that the study was limited to a single institution, the study’s value lies in its examination of the first diagnosed cases of COVID-19 in Cuba, which can serve as a reference for the history of the disease in Cuba, the Caribbean and Latin America.

CONCLUSIONS

The first individuals diagnosed with COVID-19, who were admitted to Pedro Kouri Tropical Medicine Institute in Havana, Cuba, were mostly imported cases and adult men with pre-existing comorbidities that put them at higher risk of severe manifestations of the disease. These results contribute to knowledge of the history of the COVID-19 pandemic in Cuba and the region.
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