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ABSTRACT
Hepatitis B causes liver failure, cirrhosis and cancer. It has an estimated global prevalence of 6%, and 700,000 to 1 million persons die every year of hepatitis B-related causes. In 1989, hepatitis B incidence in Cuba was 14.9 per 100,000 population. To control infection, the Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Center and the Ministry of Public Health, both in Havana, collaborated on a joint project that first produced natural interferon and recombinant interferon alpha-2b, and later a polyethylene glycol-conjugated interferon. As part of the Cuban biotechnology development strategy, the project produced a vaccine against hepatitis B in 1985. At that time, hepatitis B vaccines available elsewhere in the world were costly and inaccessible to Cubans due to the US economic and trade embargo. The Heberbiovac HB preventive vaccine was approved by the Cuban regulatory authority and added to the Cuban newborn vaccination program in 1992 after phase 1–3 clinical trials demonstrated its safety and immunogenicity. From 2001 to 2003, PAHO/WHO qualified and requalified the vaccine four times. When associated with other antigens or molecules, Heberbiovac HB provides a common platform of virus-like particles that can be used in different ways, such as in the pentavalent vaccine containing Bordetella pertussis and Haemophilus influenzae type b antigens and tetanus and diptheria toxoids. Thanks to this vaccine, annual incidence of acute hepatitis in Cuba has dropped from more than 2000 cases to fewer than 100, and no infections in children aged 0–15 years have been reported since 2007. It is now used in more than 30 countries, providing protective, long-lasting antibody levels with no reports of serious adverse events.

Yet, hepatitis B cannot be eliminated until there are no chronic patients. The comprehensive hepatitis B control project therefore included development of a therapeutic vaccine based on Heberbiovac HB. Using its platform, researchers designed an innovative version of the vaccine that was the precursor of a therapeutic nasal/subcutaneous vaccine for chronic hepatitis B, HeberNasvac. This precursor vaccine, which combines Heberbiovac HB with a recombinant antigen from the virus nucleocapsid (rHBcAg), was patented and licensed in 2015 by the Cuban regulatory authority. This article provides an overview of the progress-to-date on the development of this therapeutic vaccine, including clinical trials (some completed and others ongoing) to determine safety, efficacy and therapeutic benefits.
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INTRODUCTION
This paper discusses the Cuban preventive recombinant vaccine for hepatitis B (HB), Heberbiovac HB, which eliminated acute hepatitis B (AHB) from among the nation’s health problems. We also discuss a new version, which contains two antigens (rHBsAg/rHBcAg). This version is the precursor to a therapeutic vaccine, HeberNasvac, now supported by four published clinical trials completed in Cuba and Bangladesh.[1–4]

The hepatitis B virus (HBV) causes acute and chronic hepatitis, as well as liver failure, cirrhosis and cancer. Global HB prevalence is estimated at 6%. Every year, more than 4 million people are infected, and 700,000 to 1 million die. There are reportedly >350 million HBV carriers worldwide, of whom approximately 25% are expected to die of chronic liver disease, cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma. In its 2017 Global Hepatitis Report, WHO set a goal to eradicate hepatitis B and C by 2030.[5]

In 1989, HB incidence in Cuba was 14.9 per 100,000 population, and prevalence of chronic hepatitis was 1%–5%, according to research on infection detection. Chronically infected patients become reservoirs for virus spread, and it is estimated that at least 10% of new infections become chronic.[6] In the 1980s, HB vaccines were costly (US$239 per dose).[7] and the only one that was manufactured in the United States, thus off limits to Cuban patients due to the US embargo on Cuba. Thus, as part of its domestic biotechnology development strategy, in 1985, Cuba conducted clinical trials to evaluate the safety, immunogenicity and efficacy of its own prophylactic vaccine (PV) which was then licensed and included in the Cuban vaccination program.[7]

Using prophylactic vaccination, Cuba has reduced HB morbidity and mortality by more than 95% since 2003,[8] but control and elimination cannot be achieved until there are no more patients with chronic HB (CHB). Cuba has therefore designed and produced a therapeutic vaccine (TV), HeberNasvac, for CHB.

The scientific community has received limited reports on the results of Cuba’s development and use of preventive recombinant vaccines for HB, especially on the impact of preventing infection through universal prophylactic vaccination of newborns. This information is important for countries with limited resources because the immunogen used in the prophylactic vaccine, the HBV surface antigen obtained using recombinant DNA technology (rHBsAg), is autochthonous. The PV’s rHBsAg is also a component of the TV, which gives the TV the safety and effectiveness demonstrated in prophylactic vaccination over the last 28 years in Cuba and more than 30 countries, with no reports of serious adverse events following immunization (AEFI).[9]

Any biopharmaceutical to be used in the Cuban health system must prove that it can solve or ameliorate a major health problem, and its development and production must be financially self-sustaining.[10] It must also meet the needs of the domestic market

IMPORTANCE
This article summarizes 30 years of work by Cuba’s Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Center (CIGB) in controlling hepatitis B, the impact of its recombinant preventive vaccine and promising results for a related therapeutic vaccine.
and create exportable surplus to support continued research and development, as well as the health system, a “closed loop.”

Preventive strategies, such as vaccines for HB and therapies to cure or improve CHB, aim at disease eradication, but immunotherapies such as interferon (IFN), monoclonal antibodies and therapeutic vaccines have received special attention because they link different generations of biotech products. While the Cuban PV has been shown effective in decreasing HB incidence and mortality, like other countries, Cuba has not been able to reduce prevalence of chronic infection due to poor results from conventional treatments. Therefore, as part of its HB control project and biotechnology development strategy, Cuba decided to develop a TV that would stimulate the immune system to eliminate the virus in CHB patients.

Our main purpose is not to describe clinical trials of the recombinant PV and TV before their approval, but rather the principles, properties and development of these vaccines. This brief survey of TV clinical trials may also indicate how these vaccines perform in different scenarios, since the TV contains the PV’s main component. The PV Heberbiovac HB was licensed 30 years ago and has been used in Cuba and abroad, but what is most impressive is its impact during decades of use in Cuba and elsewhere. The results show that it has controlled and eliminated HBV in Cuban children aged 0–15, with no major adverse effects and only mild transient effects.[7]

**DISCUSSION**

**Characteristics and composition of hepatitis B vaccines** The Cuban PV and TV for hepatitis B were designed and produced at the Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Center (CIGB). The Cuban recombinant PV for hepatitis B was licensed as Heberbiovac HB in Cuba in 1990,[11] and by PAHO/WHO in 2003.[7] Each 1 mL dose of PV for intramuscular administration contains 20 μg of the hepatitis B surface antigen “s” produced using recombinant DNA technology (rHBsAg) in Al3+ hydroxide, sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium phosphate dibasic anhydrous or sodium phosphate monobasic dihydrate, and 0.05 mg of thiomersal.[11]

Heberbiovac HB differs from similar vaccines on the global market in three main ways:

1) The recombinant antigen is obtained in a strain of *Pichia pastoris* yeast transformed by genomic DNA insertion that codes rHBsAg expression, while other vaccines are usually derived from plasmid constructions expressed in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

2) The process, which involves original chromatographic purification using adsorption and desorption in diatomaceous earth, and industrial columns with immunoaffinity with a suitable, specific and selective monoclonal antibody developed at CIGB, preserves the molecular integrity of the rHBsAg.[12, 13]

3) As a result of this process, rHBsAg is obtained as virus-like particles (VLPs) that form aggregates. Within these, a wide variety of epitopes are protected from proteolysis and form high-immunogenicity clusters that preserve antigen diversity, thus favoring a multispecific immune response.

Heberbiovac HB provides a common platform of VLPs with different use options, such as the pentavalent vaccine, included in the Cuban immunization program after licensing in 2006,[14] which includes antigens for HBV, Bordetella pertussis, and Haemophilus influenzae type b, as well as tetanus and diphtheria toxoids. But the newest example is the HeberNasvac nasal/subcutaneous therapeutic HBC vaccine, which integrates Heberbiovac HB with the recombinant HBV nucleocapsid antigen (rHBCAg).

The TV formulation licensed as HeberNasvac[15] contains two recombinant HBV antigens, and is a mixture of equal parts of rHBsAg produced in *P. pastoris* with recombinant HBV nucleocapsid antigen (rHBCAg) produced in *Escherichia coli*. Each 1 mL dose for intranasal (IN) or subcutaneous (SC) administration contains 100 μg rHBsAg + 100 μg rHBCAg, suspended in a solution of disodium hydrogen phosphate, disodium phosphate dihydrate, ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) disodium salt, NaCl and water for injection, without adjuvant.[15] Like Heberbiovac HB, it can provide a common immune-potentiating platform for other antigens.[16, 17]

**Heberbiovac HB prophylactic vaccine** CIGB and the Ministry of Public Health (MINSAP) developed an HBV control program for hospitals and community polyclinics to test and administer natural, recombinant and polyethylene glycol-conjugated IFN (PEG-IFN), as well as the Heberbiovac HB vaccine.

Phase 1, 2 and 3 clinical trials with a 20 μg/mL dose of Heberbiovac HB in adults, children and newborns demonstrated its safety, immunogenicity and protective efficacy. The national regulatory authority, the Center for State Control of Medicines and Medical Devices (CECMED), supervised and approved clinical trials performed from 1989 to 1991.[7] This entity licensed the PV[11] and authorized its use in the Cuban immunization program starting in 1992, with a first dose at birth for all newborns and in groups considered at high risk for HBV.[8] Vaccination was organized by MINSAP in collaboration with CIGB, under the supervision of CECMED.

The Cuban vaccine controlled transmission of HBV in vaccinated groups and reduced the annual HBV infection rate in the general population from more than 2000 to fewer than 100 per year (Figure 1). By 2006, HBV incidence had been reduced by 99% compared to the 1992 rate, before universal vaccination of newborns. Since 2007, no cases of AHB have been reported in children from birth to

![Figure 1: Annual acute Hepatitis B cases in children and the general population, Cuba 1992–2018](image-url)
HBV vaccination coverage has been maintained above 98% since 1994.[6,7] This broad coverage, along with the vaccine’s immunogenicity, explains the decreased incidence of AHB and the absence of new cases in persons aged <15 years.

A study published in 2006 reported a perinatal transmission of 3.8% in children of seropositive mothers (HBsAg+), proving that the vaccine protects infants born to HBsAg+ mothers, since these children are at high risk of infection.[18]

Since 1992, 80 postmarketing clinical trials of the PV have been conducted in Cuba and other countries in more than 35,000 patients, both adults and children. These trials confirmed its safety, immunogenicity and protective efficacy.[7] Three phase 4 studies conducted in Cuba in infants aged under one year under routine use conditions demonstrated safety similar to that in phase 1–3 clinical trials, and the vaccine’s ability to provoke a protective response against HBV in children aged less than five years. This was a long-term response showing antibody titers above 10 IU/L (protective) 5 years after vaccination in 95.4% of children.[19]

Additional postmarketing studies involving adult and childhood vaccination were performed in 35 countries on 3 continents. Mild local AEFIs were reported. At 75 days post-vaccination, seroconversion with seroprotection (anti-HB concentrations above 10 IU/L) was reported in 92% of adults and 100% of children. At two years, the studies confirmed that 100% of those vaccinated had protective antibody levels.[20] Based on the duration results obtained in different studies and the estimated 3-year half-life of anti-HBs, it was predicted that protective antibody levels would still be present at 15 years post-vaccination.[21]

Despite reduced HB incidence in Cuba and the fact that no AHB cases in children aged 0–15 years have been reported since 2007, MINSAP continues monitoring children born to HBsAg+ mothers after Heberbiovac HB became part of the national vaccination schedule, because a possibility of hidden infection exists even in the presence of antibodies against the vaccine antigen. A study published in 2016 reported that 2.1% of children aged 7 months to 5 years, born from 2002 to 2012, had hidden HB infection with positive viral DNA, although they had developed antibodies in response to vaccination.[22] However, later studies found high protection levels in children of seropositive mothers (93.8%) when they were studied from age 3 to 18 years, and only 1 of 32 children showed hidden infection.[23]

WHO first accepted Heberbiovac HB in 2001 and certified it for compliance with its good manufacturing practices guidelines,[7] thus ensuring that exportable surplus product could be marketed within a closed-loop system.

Immunopathology and immunotherapy in chronic hepatitis B
In those who recover from a primary HBV infection (produced by a noncytopathic but immunopathogenic virus) is associated with a strong polyclonal, multispecific and cytotoxic T-cell response against viral nucleocapsid (core) proteins, viral polymerase and the viral envelope antigen.[24,25] However, in patients who develop a persistent necroinflammatory infection, these cell responses are weak or even undetectable. Thus, the predominant immunotherapy trend for CHB is to promote activation and expansion of a sustained, effective antiviral T-cell immune response. The purpose of the HeberNasvac TV for CHB was to improve the responses elicited by other TV candidates, which failed to resolve the infection.

To eradicate HBV, it must be eliminated in chronic patients, who are disease reservoirs. Various CHB therapies are being explored, which has turned it into a “proving ground” where only IFNs, nucleoside or nucleotide analogues, anti-HB intravenous immunoglobulin, and liver and bone marrow transplants have met US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) requirements and received approval. However, transplants are used only when HBV coexists with other diseases for which transplant is indicated, such as terminal liver failure, leukemias and lymphomas.[26]

Antiviral therapies reported effective in treating CHB include interferon alpha (IFN-alpha) and PEG-IFN, of which CIGB produces a biosimilar,[27] and nucleoside or nucleotide analogues (NAs). IFN-alpha achieves 10%–20% sustained viral suppression 24–48 weeks post-treatment, and PEG-IFN shows 30% effectiveness.[28,29] PEG-IFN has antiviral and immunomodulatory properties, but limited effectiveness because it does not clear infected cells, fails to fully inhibit viral replication since it leaves remaining pockets of virus, and does not effectively reverse weak antiviral T-cell response. NAs are highly effective in controlling HBV and work by inhibiting viral reverse transcriptase,[29] but require lengthy treatment and cause major adverse events (AEs), thereby reducing expected benefits in clinical practice. Although alternative treatments with simple preparations of IFN or PEG-IFN are given for limited periods, they usually cause AEs that sometimes reduce treatment compliance.[30]

Since HB can be eradicated only by preventing new cases and curing chronic patients, in addition to manufacturing the PV and TV, Cuba’s strategy for controlling and eradicating HB through prophylactic and therapeutic vaccination was based on developing technologies that allowed it to:

1) Produce and purify polyclonal antibodies against viral antigens.
2) Obtain HBs monoclonal antibodies (McA) to purify rHBsAg and develop analytical and diagnostic methods.
3) Standardize and validate qualitative and quantitative ELISA for viral antigens (HBsAg, anti-HBs, HbcAg, anti-HBc).
4) Develop methods for quantitative determination of viral load (VL) by polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) for HBV DNA. To treat CHB, the natural IFN, recombinant IFN and PEG-IFN therapeutic biomolecules were produced. PEG-IFN prolongs IFN activity by extending its half-life in blood and improving its effectiveness.

Therapeutic vaccine Although no TV in the world has been approved for clinical use, TVs have gained ground among products proposed for treating CHB in an attempt to eradicate the disease.[21] Cuba has produced the HeberNasvac vaccine candidate, and its safety and efficacy shown in clinical trials reveal its potential to treat patients with chronic disease. This vaccine has been patented[31–33] and was registered in Cuba in 2015.[15]

Its efficacy seems to be related to the presence of trace amounts of nucleic acids from E. coli bound to the C-terminal region of the rHbcAg molecule. According to mass spectrometry studies, these nucleic acids make up a nucleoprotein within the VLP that could contribute to the strong immunogenicity of rHbcAg, as well as its adjuvant effect on rHBsAg,[34] which has been proven for other antigens.[16,17]
This TV’s first clinical trials in Cuba involved healthy volunteers and a small number of patients with CHB, and other trials were conducted in Bangladesh (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT 01374308). All were completed and their results published.[1–4] Additional trials are now underway (in Japan and Bangladesh) or in the planning and design stage in other countries. If new trials confirm the results of completed and published studies, HeberNasvac could be recognized as the first effective TV for chronic HBV that contains two antigens originating from the virus. Insertion of rHBsAg into a TV formulation is also being used as an immune-stimulating carrier and modulator of a synthetic HIV antigen in a TV candidate for treating HIV[16,17] now being used in a clinical trial.

Previous attempts used TVs based solely on rHBsAg that contained potent adjuvants, but failed to control viral replication, although they did show some ability to subvert tolerance to the viral antigen.[25] This effect was attributed to the lack of response against HBcAg, an important CHB immune marker[35] and to the fact that adoptive transfer of HBCAg-specific T-cells controls HBV and induces anti-HBs seroconversion.[36] This evidence suggested that HBCAg could be used as an immunogen along with rHBsAg to increase the number and variety of epitopes required for an effective antiviral response.[37]

Preclinical experiments in animal models of CHB, including HBV and HBsAg transgenic mice, have demonstrated the immunogenicity of intranasal and subcutaneous HeberNasvac. Pharmacologic, preclinical and toxicologic animal studies have confirmed their safety and efficacy, including experiments with DNA, Dane particles, HBsAg and HBsAg. These studies showed that HBsAg tolerance ended, and strong humoral and cell responses against HBsAg and HBcAg began, with a predominantly T helper cell 1 (Th1) immune response. The studies also demonstrated the vaccine’s immunogenicity and its ability to induce a response in mucosal and systemic compartments.[38–43] Acute toxicity, repeat-dose and safety studies using intranasal and subcutaneous inoculation showed no local or systemic toxic or adverse effects, or macro- or microscopic changes in organs.[44,45]

HeberNasvac can be given as a preventive vaccine in populations with little or no response to conventional prophylactic vaccines, and to healthy persons at risk for HBV. It has shown greater effectiveness and/or fewer AEFIs compared to other treatments. The standard of care for CHB includes antiviral treatments that effectively suppress the chronic virus but require lifelong antiviral treatment.[46]

HeberNasvac is the first product with activity against CHB that contains both surface antigens (HBsAg) and nucleocapsid antigens (HBcAg) in the form of VLPs, that can be administered intravenously and/or subcutaneously. Figure 2 outlines the general schedule for patients with CHB (HBsAg+) for ≥6 months.

Clinical trials with HeberNasvac in healthy volunteers and CHB patients The phase 1 (two trials), phase 1/2 and phase 3 trials were conducted in Cuba, in the Abel Santamaría Cuadrado Provincial Clinical-Surgical Hospital in Pinar del Río Province, Cuba, and in the Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University and Farabi Hospitals in Dhaka, Bangladesh, in strict compliance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines, and following the Declaration of Helsinki[47] with certification by CECMED.

Figure 2: HeberNasvac immunization and followup schedules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First cycle</th>
<th>Second cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-week followup</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-week followup</td>
<td>66 week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nasal vaccine: 100μg HBsAg and 100μg HBcAg
Subcutaneous vaccine: 100μg HBsAg and 100μg HBcAg

After receiving a detailed explanation of the study’s risks and benefits, each participant provided written consent. Investigators selected laboratory methods according to principles of maximum possible benefits and minimal possible harm, following good laboratory practices. Each of these clinical trials received approval from the relevant institutional ethics committee and was completed and published.[1–4]

Phase 1 clinical trial in healthy persons A phase 1 (code: IG/VHN/ HB/0201) placebo-controlled, randomized, double-blind trial was conducted in 19 healthy adults (9 vaccinated and 10 who received placebo) to evaluate the preliminary safety and immunogenicity of intranasal immunization with HeberNasvac, following a schedule of 5 doses containing 50 μg of each antigen per dose (0.5 mL), at 14-day intervals.[1]

In this trial, HeberNasvac showed a safety profile comparable to that of the saline solution used as placebo (Table 1).

The vaccine showed high safety and minimal reactogenicity. There were no unexpected or serious AEFIs, and no volunteers left the study due to them. Basic hematology and clinical chemistry parameters showed no abnormalities. The AEFIs noted were mild and few; local AEFIs most often seen were sneezing, rhinorhoea, nasal obstruction and laryngeal itching, all occurring in <35% of patients. Among systemic AEFIs, most common were headache and general malaise, both in <10% of cases.

This clinical trial demonstrated the immunogenicity of HeberNasvac, since 100% of vaccinated subjects responded to HBcAg with anti-HBc titers >1.10. A high percentage of subjects generated an anti-HBs protective response (concentrations ≥10 IU/L), and of these, more than half were good responders (anti-HBs ≥100 IU/L). The volunteers in the placebo group remained negative for both antibodies throughout the study.

The licensed therapeutic HBV vaccines produce a seroprotective response of up to 20% after the first dose and 71% one month after the second dose in healthy young adults.[48,49] These were encouraging results, considering that these were the first humans immunized intranasally with HBsAg, and that the TV was not yet optimized for dose and vaccination schedules. Subsequent studies focused on testing higher concentrations of the antigen by dose and number of inoculations.

Phase 1 clinical trial in CHB patients A phase 1 clinical trial evaluated the safety of an active immunotherapy schedule with HeberNasvac administered intranasally in six patients with CHB refractory to treatment with recombinant interferon alfa-2b, the standard of antiviral care for CHB in Cuba. Average patient age
Subjects received ten 100 μg doses of HeberNasvac (1 mL) intranasally every two weeks (Table 1), using a nasal actuator (VP7D, Valois, France) calibrated to release 125 μL of the formula with each plunger depression. The vaccine was safe, showed low reactogenicity, and was well tolerated by all patients. The vaccination did not cause liver, kidney or bone marrow dysfunction. These patients had documented histories of over 12 years with CHB that had been refractory or not fully responsive to IFN-alpha treatment. No serious AEs were noted, such as nasal secretions, mild localized burning sensation, general malaise, headache and asthenia. Other local AEs noted were mild and resolved without medical intervention.

HeberNasvac produced no serious AEFIs. There were no deaths, or any onset of chronic disease during followup (52 weeks). Most AEFIs noted were mild and resolved without medical intervention. The most common AEFIs (more than 10% of total) were: sneezing, general malaise, headache and asthenia. Other local AEFIs were noted, such as nasal secretions, mild localized burning sensation at the inoculation site, and nasal pruritus. After completion of the study, which demonstrated safety and an antiviral effect, SC administration was adopted to complement IN, for improved clinical benefits.[2]

The improvement in virologic and serologic variables associated with HBV and mild fibrosis after five years of followup suggest that in addition to the therapeutic benefits of the TV, it may protect the liver. With regard to clinical practice guidelines for HB infection,[46] none of the patients required additional treatment.

Table 1: Summary of clinical trials to evaluate HeberNasvac

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Placebo-controlled, randomized, double-blind trial</td>
<td>Open single-arm trial</td>
<td>Open two-arm trial</td>
<td>Open, randomized, two-arm trial with control treatment (PEG-IFN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Preliminary safety and immunogenicity</td>
<td>Preliminary safety and immunogenicity</td>
<td>Safety and immunogenicity</td>
<td>Efficacy, immunogenicity and safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General demographics</td>
<td>N = 19, healthy volunteers Healthy young men (18–45 years) 9 with TV and 10 with placebo</td>
<td>N = 6, 18–65 years, failed alfa-2b IFN treatment 3 HBeAg+ 3 HBeAg−</td>
<td>N = 20, 19–65 years, no prior treatment. 13 HBeAg+ 7 HBeAg−</td>
<td>N = 160, 18–65 years, &gt;80% without prior treatment ~80% HBeAg− 80 with TV and 80 with PEG-IFN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment, schedule, routes and dose</td>
<td>0, 1, 2, 4, 6 weeks IN (50 μg/Ag/dose) vs placebo, same schedule</td>
<td>0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 weeks IN (100 μg/Ag/dose)</td>
<td>2 cycles 1st: 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 weeks IN (100 μg/Ag/dose+100 μg HBcAg) 2nd: 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 weeks IN (100 μg/Ag/dose+100 μg HBcAg)</td>
<td>2 cycles 1st: 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 weeks IN (100 μg/Ag/dose+100 μg HBcAg) 2nd: 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 weeks IN (100 μg/Ag/dose+100 μg HBcAg) SC (100 μg/Ag/dose) vs PEG-IFN 48 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHB: Chronic hepatitis B  CT: Clinical trial  IN: Intranasal  PEG-IFN: Polyethylene glycol-conjugated interferon  SC: Subcutaneous  TV: Therapeutic vaccine

was 43 years, and average time since diagnosis was 13.5 years (Table 1). All were serum HBsAg+ for more than six months and had transaminase levels (ALAT and/or ASAT) above the upper limit of normal, detected at least once within six months prior to trial inclusion.

Subjects received ten 100 μg doses of HeberNasvac (1 mL) intranasally every two weeks (Table 1), using a nasal actuator (VP7D, Valois, France) calibrated to release 125 μL of the formula with each plunger depression. The vaccine was safe, showed low reactogenicity, and was well tolerated by all patients. The vaccination did not cause liver, kidney or bone marrow dysfunction. These patients had documented histories of over 12 years with CHB that had been refractory or not fully responsive to IFN-alpha treatment. No serious AEs were noted, such as nasal secretions, mild localized burning sensation, general malaise, headache and asthenia. Other local AEs noted were mild and resolved without medical intervention. The most common AEFIs (more than 10% of total) were: sneezing, general malaise, headache and asthenia. Other local AEFIs were noted, such as nasal secretions, mild localized burning sensation at the inoculation site, and nasal pruritus. After completion of the study, which demonstrated safety and an antiviral effect, SC administration was adopted to complement IN, for improved clinical benefits.[2]

The improvement in virologic and serologic variables associated with HBV and mild fibrosis after five years of followup suggest that in addition to the therapeutic benefits of the TV, it may protect the liver. With regard to clinical practice guidelines for HB infection,[46] none of the patients required additional treatment.

HeberNasvac produced no serious AEFIs. There were no deaths, or any onset of chronic disease during followup (52 weeks). Most AEFIs noted were mild and resolved without medical intervention. The most common AEFIs (more than 10% of total) were: sneezing, general malaise, headache and asthenia. Other local AEFIs were noted, such as nasal secretions, mild localized burning sensation at the inoculation site, and nasal pruritus. After completion of the study, which demonstrated safety and an antiviral effect, SC administration was adopted to complement IN, for improved clinical benefits.[2]
Figure 3: Copies of HBV DNA and concentration of HBsAg (qHBsAg) in sera of 6 patients 5 years after HeberNasvac administration

The results of the serological tests are shown below each graph for each patient (patients 1 to 6). The time frame of ALAT elevations are represented by a pink shaded bar. Figure taken from ref [2]; with publisher’s permission. HBV DNA: Hepatitis B virus DNA
The TV was given intranasally in the first 5-dose treatment cycle (each 1 mL dose contained 100 μg HBsAg + 100 μg HBeAg); in the second cycle, the 5 doses were given intranasally and subcutaneously at the same time. The study measured virologic response using quantitative PCR (250 copies/mL detection limit) after 5 and 10 doses. After 48 weeks, a high percentage of patients who were HBeAg+ had eliminated HBV, and ALAT normalized in all patients. Of those who joined the study with HBeAg+, six cleared the virus from circulation, eight seroconverted to anti-HBe (HBeAg became negative and antibodies against it appeared), and ALAT levels became normal for sustained periods.

That viral load reduction was maintained during followup is of interest because in a high percentage of patients treated with current antivirals, the virus reappears once treatment ends. SC and IN administration of HeberNasvac was safe and well tolerated in all subjects. No serious or moderate AEFIs were recorded. All AEFIs noted during the second treatment cycle were associated with SC administration; 100% of these were mild, including pain at injection site (27.8%), fever (22.2%) and general malaise (11.1%).

Because the vaccine was given both IN and SC in this clinical trial, it provided the first safety and efficacy profiles of the HeberNasvac regimen for both routes. Vaccination with rHBs/rHBeAg via both routes induced production of proinflammatory cytokines and activation of antigen-presenting dendritic cells in the peripheral blood of CHB patients and demonstrated the TV’s immunomodulatory effect after the first five intranasal doses.[3]

The investigators in this trial recommended that HeberNasvac manufacturers use the regimen in a phase 3 clinical trial to assess effectiveness and offer a more in-depth perspective on its safety and efficacy.

Phase 3 clinical trial in untreated CHB patients This phase 3 trial was conducted in Bangladesh and was approved by the ethics committees of the participating hospitals and the Bangladesh General Office of Medication Administration. It was registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01374308). This trial used the same schedule and dose as the phase 1/2 trial and compared TV to PEG-IFN treatment (Table 1). General results indicate that over the short and long term, HeberNasvac was safer than PEG-IFN. ALAT elevations were transient in the group receiving HeberNasvac. Protocol analysis showed a similar difference. A rebound in viremia, more pronounced in the PEG-IFN group, occurred after treatment, with a significant reduction in the proportion of patients with controlled viral load (<250 copies/mL) at 24 week followup compared to end of treatment.[4]

The results for the Cuban PV and TV discussed here show their impact on prevention of acute hepatitis B in different age groups and on treatment of chronic forms of the disease. For the TV, better results will depend on the antigens selected or designed for formulations, adjuvant adsorption strategies, the most useful immunization route and possible receptors, for which appropriate biomarkers must be selected. Other studies are also needed to confirm the relevance of mucosal immunization and its mechanisms of action in the field of therapeutic vaccination.

CONCLUSIONS

Acute hepatitis B has ceased to be a health problem in Cuba after universal vaccination of newborns with the Cuban prophylactic vaccine HeberBiovac HB. Because Cuba has systematically administered the vaccine in a broad-ranging national program, it has maintained low annual incidence in the general population, and no cases have been reported in children since 2007. Compared to PEG-IFN, therapeutic vaccination with HeberNasvac via both routes has fewer adverse events, reduces long-term viral load without reversion in a higher proportion of patients, and decreases transaminase and rigidity values. Transient ALAT elevations are associated with immune activation, not development of hepatic fibrosis. HeberNasvac is a safe alternative as monotherapy for short-term treatment of CHB. The HeberNasvac TV is a practical example of biotech product innovation in Cuba.
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