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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION Ferritin is the best biomarker for assessing iron deficiency, but ferritin concentrations increase with inflammation. Several adjustment methods have been proposed to account for inflammation’s effect on iron biomarker interpretation. The most recent and highly recommended method uses linear regression models, but more research is needed on other models that may better define iron status in children, particularly when distributions are heterogenous and in contexts where the effect of inflammation on ferritin is not linear.

OBJECTIVES Assess the utility and relevance of quadratic regression models and quantile quadratic regression models in adjusting ferritin concentration in the presence of inflammation.

METHODS We used data from children aged under five years, taken from Cuba’s national anemia and iron deficiency survey, which was carried out from 2015–2018 by the National Hygiene, Epidemiology and Microbiology Institute. We included data from 1375 children aged 6 to 59 months and collected ferritin concentrations and two biomarkers for inflammation: C-reactive protein and α-1 acid glycoprotein. Quadratic regression and quantile regression models were used to adjust for changes in ferritin concentration in the presence of inflammation.

RESULTS Unadjusted iron deficiency prevalence was 23% (316/1375). Inflammation-adjusted ferritin values increased iron-deficiency prevalence by 2.6–4.5 percentage points when quadratic regression correction model was used, and by 2.8–6.2 when quantile regression was used. The increase when using the quantile regression correction model was more pronounced and statistically significant when both inflammation biomarkers were considered, but adjusted prevalence was similar between the two correction methods when only one biomarker was analyzed.

CONCLUSIONS The use of quadratic regression and quantile quadratic regression models is a complementary strategy in adjusting ferritin for inflammation, and is preferable to standard regression analysis when the linear model’s basic assumptions are not met, or when it can be assumed that ferritin–inflammation relationships within a subpopulation may deviate from average trends.
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and Nutritional Determinants of Anemia).[17] The significant disparity between unadjusted ferritin values and those adjusted by some of the above approaches underlines the importance of correcting for inflammation and the need to develop adequate tools to examine the validity of the methods used for correction. Regression correction (RC) is recommended,[7] but the need for continued investigation into other methods of adjusting ferritin concentrations is emphasized.[18]

The RC approach is based on subtracting inflammation’s effects from observed ferritin concentrations. This approach is less subject to bias and allows for continuous correction of ferritin even for lower reference values for inflammation than those traditionally used.[19] However, it is based on the assumption of a linear effect of inflammation on iron deficiency indicators; in practice, relationships between iron status biomarkers and APPs (CRP and AGP) are not linear.[4] Additionally, different types of relationships could exist for subpopulations that deviate from average trends for heterogeneous distributions. It may therefore be necessary to use more flexible regression models, like quadratic regression models[20] and quantile regression models.[21–24]

Quantile regression (QR) is considered a natural extension of the standard regression model and allows for separate regression models to be used for different parts of the dependent variable’s distribution. QR’s additional flexibility may broaden the description of inflammation’s effect on ferritin’s conditional distribution. An additional advantage to QR is that it does not depend on normality assumptions or transformations.

Some exogenous factors—such as observations below detection limits—can alter parameters of the dependent variable’s conditional distribution. It is common practice to fill in undetected or censored data with a value equal to or less than the detection limit. However, when there are a considerable number of such replacements, estimates of mean effects and standard errors of least-squares regression models will not be reliable, risking erroneous conclusions. QR is more robust against these types of outliers. Therefore, QR models could be used to not only detect heterogenous CRP and AGP effects at different quantiles of ferritin values, but also to obtain more precise estimates compared to mean regression when normality assumptions are breached, or when there are outliers and long tails.[24]

Taking into account the need for more robust adjustment models that offer more precise measurements, we set out to estimate possible non-linear relationships and the usefulness and relevance of quadratic regression and quadratic regression by quantile models to explain ferritin concentration’s relationship with CRP and AGP inflammation biomarkers in Cuban children aged under five years.

METHODS
Population, study area and variables We used data pertaining to population, study area and variables obtained from Cuba’s national anemia and iron deficiency survey, a cross-sectional study carried out by the National Hygiene, Epidemiology and Microbiology Institute (INHEM), from February through April each year from 2015–2018 in four randomly-selected regions of the country. The sample included 1375 presumably healthy children, with no diagnosis of chronic disease, aged 6 to 59 months, and complete serum ferritin, CRP and AGP records. A detailed description of sample selection can be found in the aforementioned article by Pita.[16]

Case definition Iron deficiency was defined as ferritin concentration <12 μg/L, the cut-off point recommended by WHO.[2] Acute inflammation was defined as CRP ≥5 mg/L and chronic inflammation as AGP >1 g/L.[2]

Statistical analysis Graphs and simple statistics were used to study the distribution of the three biochemical variables. All showed some kind of positive skew and were transformed to their natural logarithms to avoid disproportionate ranges. Once data was transformed, histograms and normal probability plots were constructed to visually judge normality.

To explore the relationship between ferritin and inflammatory biomarkers, children in the sample were divided into 10 subgroups, determined by intervals of equal length, according to ln(CRP) or ln(AGP) values. Box-and-whisker plots were constructed to observe ferritin concentration patterns in the different ranges of each inflammation biomarker.

APP’s effect on ferritin was evaluated using models on a continuous scale. We used linear regression, quadratic regression (R^2) and quantile quadratic regression (QR). Models were adjusted considering each biomarker’s effect (CRP and AGP) both separately and jointly. We constructed scatterplots to show bivariate associations.

To interpret iron stores in the presence of inflammation, ferritin concentrations were adjusted using two approaches: quadratic regression correction (R^C) and quantile quadratic regression correction (QR^C).

These analyses were conducted using the statistical software R, version 3.5.3 (Free Software Foundation, USA).[25] We used the lm function of the Stats statistical package was used to fit models with the least squares method. Model fit by quantiles was performed using the rq function of the quantreg package.[26]

Estimation of quantile regression’s effects While ordinary least squares regression (OLS) offers only information on the conditional mean, QR allows us to estimate conditional quantiles of a response variable’s distribution $Y$ based on a set $X$ of $p$ predictor variables.

Analogous to linear regression, where $E(Y|X) = XB$, the QR model for a conditional quantile $\theta$ can be formulated as:

$$\text{Quant}_\theta(Y|X) = X\beta_{\theta},$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$ and $\text{Quant}_\theta(\cdot|\cdot)$ denote the conditional quantile function for the $\theta$-th quantile, $Y_{[n]}$ is the response vector, $X_{[n\times p]}$ is the explanatory variable matrix and $\beta_{\theta_{[p]}}(\theta)$ is the vector for unknown parameters for the generic conditional quantile $\theta$. 
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Unlike OLS regression, in which a single regression line is fitted, QR has multiple lines, and therefore, as many coefficient vectors $\beta_\theta$ as quantiles are considered.

Parameter estimates in linear QR models are interpreted in the same way as any other linear model. Therefore—as in the OLS model—in the case of a multivariate QR with $p$ explanatory variables, the QR model coefficient $\beta_{ij}$ can be interpreted as the rate of change of the $\theta$th quantile of the dependent variable distribution by unit change in the $j$th regressor:

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{\partial \text{Quant}_\theta(Y \mid X)}{\partial x_j}$$

A median regression ($\theta = 0.5$) of ferritin concentrations on inflammation biomarkers specifies changes in median ferritin concentration as a function of predictors. Indicators' effects on median ferritin concentration can be compared with their effects on other ferritin quantiles. As we increase from 0 to 1, we can determine the full distribution of $Y$, conditional on $X$.

Quantile regression correction Suppose that we have data $\{(y_i, x_i), i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ and that the parameter of interest is the conditional quantile $\theta$ of $y_i$, given by $x_i$. Pairs $(y_i', x_i')$ are assumed to be observations of randomly-selected individuals from a population.

While the effects of CRP and AGP are uniquely calculated in linear regression, in quantile regression they vary depending on the desired quantile. It is possible to identify for each individual the QR model that can best predict the response variable to provide a unique vector of coefficients.[23]

Consider the QR model for a given conditional quantile $\theta$:

$$\text{Quant}_\theta(Y \mid X) = X \beta_{\theta}$$

The generic element of matrix $[X \times X]$ is the dependent variable's estimate corresponding to the $\theta$th quantile.

The best estimate for each individual is the one that minimizes the difference between observed and estimated values for each of the $k$ models:[23]

$$\theta_i: \text{argmin}_{\theta=1,...,k} |y_i - \hat{y}_i(\theta)| \quad (1)$$

Once $\theta_i$ is identified for each individual $i$, ferritin concentrations are adjusted by subtracting the estimated effects of inflammation on the corresponding quantile assigned to each individual.

Take, as an example, the measurements of ln(ferritin) and ln(CRP) of the random sample of Cuban children aged under five years. The quantile quadratic model used to evaluate the effect of each inflammation biomarker on different parts of ferritin's conditional distribution is expressed as follows:

$$\ln(\text{ferritin})_\theta = \beta_{0\theta} + \beta_{1\theta} \ln(\text{CRP}) + \beta_{2\theta} \ln^2(\text{CRP}) \quad (2)$$

where $\beta_{0\theta}$ is the intercept and $\beta_{1\theta}$ and $\beta_{2\theta}$ are the regression coefficients of the $\theta$th quantile.

For all QR models formulated in this research, five conditional quantiles ($\theta = 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 0.90$) were used for synthesis purposes.

The graphs of each quadratic quantile function are parabolas in the form of $U$, so the vertex is their lowest point. In this study, a threshold for inflammation was defined as the point at which the quadratic quantile function was minimized. This occurs when:

$$\ln(\text{CRP})_\theta = -\left(\hat{\beta}_{1\theta}/2\hat{\beta}_{2\theta}\right)$$

Where $\hat{\beta}_{1\theta}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{2\theta}$ are the estimates of the regression coefficients of each quantile function in (2). Once identified $\theta_i$ for each individual according to the criteria in (1), ferritin concentrations were adjusted by performing the following transformation:

$$\ln(\text{ferritin}^{\text{ref}})_i = \ln(\text{ferritin})_i - \hat{\beta}_{1\theta} \left[\ln(\text{CRP}) - \ln(\text{CRP}^{\text{ref}})_0\right] - \hat{\beta}_{2\theta} \left[\ln^2(\text{CRP}) - \ln^2(\text{CRP}^{\text{ref}})_0\right]$$

To avoid overfitting, the correction was applied according to the following expression:

$$\ln(\text{ferritin})^{\text{adj}}_i = \begin{cases} \ln(\text{ferritin})^{\text{ref}}_i, & \text{if } \ln(\text{CRP}) > \ln(\text{CRP}^{\text{ref}})_\theta \\ \ln(\text{ferritin}), & \text{if } \ln(\text{CRP}) \leq \ln(\text{CRP}^{\text{ref}})_\theta \end{cases}$$

The $\text{adj}$ subscript refers to the ferritin concentrations' fitted values. The $\text{ref}$ subscript refers to inflammation reference values, under the assumption that they mark the cutoff points of inflammation biomarkers, from which ferritin concentrations increase.

Results on the values of inflammation-adjusted ferritin concentrations were expressed in the original measurement scale. Iron deficiency was determined by applying a ferritin cut-off of $<12\mu g/L$[2] to inflammation-corrected ferritin concentrations.

Ethics This research was approved by INHEM's research ethics committee, and that of the Cybernetics, Mathematics and Physics Institute. Information was kept confidential, without revealing the children's identity.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics After an exploratory analysis, 10 children with extreme values were excluded from the study: 3 with CRP values $>76\text{mg/L}$, and 7 with AGP values $\leq 0.012\text{g/L}$. The sample was thus comprised of 1365 children. Sample distribution by age and sex was as follows: 36.3% (496/1365) <2 years; 63.7% (869/1365) ≥2 years; 50.4% (688/1365) boys; 49.6% (677/1365) girls.

Iron deficiency prevalence (ferritin $<12\mu g/L$) was 23.2% (317/1365). The prevalence of acute inflammation (CRP $\geq 5\text{ mg/L}$) was 11% (150/1365) and that of chronic inflammation (AGP $>1\text{ g/L}$) was 30.8% (420/1365).
Ferritin concentrations changed when considered together with CRP and AGP values that were above or below the cutoff points established to define inflammation. Median ferritin concentration in children CRP-measured inflammation was 46.3 μg/L (Q1 = 20.8, Q3 = 64.1) and that in children without inflammation was 24.0 μg/L (Q1 = 12.2, Q3 = 47.5). Median ferritin concentration of AGP-measured inflammation was 34.5 μg/L (Q1 = 17.1, Q3 = 53.65) and that of children without inflammation was 23.0 μg/L (Q1 = 11.7, Q3 = 44.3).

Figure 1 shows the means and the 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 0.90 quantiles of ln(ferritin) in each subgroup, of the variables ln(CRP) and ln(AGP), respectively.

The distribution of ln(ferritin) conditioned to ln(CRP) is similar in the first subgroups, but as the magnitude of inflammation increases, the distribution of ln(ferritin) shifts toward higher values. Moreover, this variability does not seem to be constant (Figure 1a). Figure 1b shows a non-linear pattern in which ferritin concentrations are low when inflammation is moderate, and are high, for both high and low ln(AGP) values.

Effects of CRP and AGP on ferritin estimation In univariate linear regression models, the effect of ln(CRP) on ln(ferritin) was significant (0.105, p <0.000), suggesting that on average, when CRP values increase, ferritin concentrations also increase, but mean ln(ferritin) concentrations did not change significantly (0.066; p = 0.298) with increasing ln(AGP). When both inflammation biomarkers were included in a model, both effects were significant. The estimated effect of ln(CRP) was positive (0.128, p = 0.021), but the effect of ln(AGP) was negative (−0.162, p = 0.025).

Graphs were used to find inconsistencies as per assumptions of models’ normality, linearity and homoscedasticity. Using information from Figure 1 as a guide, we evaluated quadratic functions in order to achieve a better fit. For illustrative and comparative purposes, Figure 2 shows two graphs that represent the linear and quadratic regression functions for each of the univariate models.

The minimum value of the nonlinear function is reached when ln(CRP) is −1.182, so the CRP value from which inflammation begins to positively influence ferritin values is 0.31 mg/L (Figure 2a), but it is nearly impossible to visually discriminate ferritin values below the vertex of the quadratic function. Inside the range of CRP values defined by the segment where linear and quadratic functions intersect, the fit of the two functions is similar, and ferritin concentrations increase more rapidly as inflammation increases.

The quadratic model (Figure 2b) shows a U-shaped relationship between ln(ferritin) and ln(AGP), with the vertex at ln(AGP) of −0.397. Thus, inflammation begins to positively influence ferritin from AGP = 0.67 g/L. On average, ferritin concentrations tend to rise in individuals with high AGP values.

Table 1 shows the estimates of the quadratic regression models (Rc) for the conditional means of the combined and individual
inflammation biomarkers: $R_c$-(CRP), $R_c$-(AGP) and $R_c$-(CRP, AGP). Estimated coefficients were significant ($p < 0.05$). Adjusted $R^2$ values were small, but higher than those obtained using linear models. Due to the high heterogeneity of the data, the quadratic models can only explain a small portion of ln(ferritin)'s variation around the mean. Associations explaining ferritin's relationship to CRP values may be different in other parts of the conditional ferritin distribution.

Quantile regression feasibility and adequacy Quantile regression shows variation between ln(ferritin)'s quantile distribution. In different parts of the distribution, proposed models show an inflammation–iron deficiency relationship, which, as expected, was not linear and was identified in regression to the mean. Table 1 shows estimated coefficients for the 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 0.90 quantiles of three models: $QR_c$, $QR_c$-(CRP), $QR_c$-(AGP) and $QR_c$-(CRP, AGP).

Quadratic regression models $R_c$-(CRP) and $QR_c$-(AGP) showed a significant parabolic correlation ($p < 0.05$) between ferritin concentrations and inflammation. In the case of the $QR_c$-(AGP) model, estimated coefficients in all quantiles differ significantly from zero. In the $QR_c$-(CRP), the coefficients associated with the linear ln(CRP) at the 0.10 quantile and the quadratic ln$^2$(AGP) at the 0.90 quantile were not significant. As in the case of regression to the mean, the $QR_c$ models confirm that the relationship between ferritin and both inflammation biomarkers is not completely linear.

The graphic versions of the quadratic fits of the estimated conditional quantiles in Table 1 (models 4 and 5) can be seen in Figures 2c and 2d.

Inflammation's effect on ferritin seems to be accentuated as CRP values increase (Figure 2c), as seen in children in the 0.25 and 0.50 percentiles. In the two highest quantiles, ferritin values also increased due to the effect of inflammation; but the increase is discrete and almost linear.

Figure 2d shows a positive increase of ferritin from each quantile function's vertex. As AGP values increase, the effect of inflammation decreased toward the tail's upper distribution (0.75 and 0.90 percentiles).

When both inflammation biomarkers were considered in the $R_c$-(CRP, AGP) quadratic regression model, all estimated coefficients showed a statistically significant positive effect, with non-linear ferritin growth (Table 1).

Estimation by quantiles in the $QR_c$-(CRP, AGP) model suggests a more complex situation (Table 1), since the influence of explanatory variables on ferritin varied from one quantile to another, and some were significant in only some quantiles. The ln(CRP)'s effect was only statistically significant in the subpopulation of children who had the highest iron reserves. The ln$^2$(AGP) variable is the
estimates of ln(CRP)’s effects are within the estimation interval of the OLS regression (Figure 3a), which indicates that in this part of ferritin’s conditional distribution, the linear relationship is significantly higher than the OLS estimate, suggesting that in this part of the distribution, CRP’s quadratic effect is larger than that estimated by classical regression in this part of the distribution. The tests for the equality of the estimated coefficients in the QRc-(CRP, AGP) model indicate that there are significant differences in the quadratic effect of CRP between the 0.10 and 0.25 quantiles (F = 4.1486, p <0.05) and in the effect quadratic of the AGP between quantiles 0.10, 0.25 and 0.50 quantiles. In the QR-(CRP) model, statistically significant differences were found (F = 5.0548, p <0.05) in AGP’s linear effect between the 0.10 and 0.50 quantiles.

Table 1: Parameter estimates (and standard errors) of quadratic OLS and quantile regression models for ln(ferritin)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Quantile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R-(CRP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>3.097*</td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(CRP)</td>
<td>0.092*</td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(CRP)^2</td>
<td>0.039*</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R^2 and pseudo R^2</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R-(AGP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>3.137*</td>
<td>(0.026)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(AGP)</td>
<td>0.617*</td>
<td>(0.087)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(AGP)^2</td>
<td>0.779*</td>
<td>(0.087)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R^2 and pseudo R^2</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGP: Alpha-1-acid glycoprotein; CRP: C-Reactive protein; OLS: Ordinary least squares regression; QR: Quantile regression

Standard errors appear in parentheses. The * indicates significant coefficients (p < 0.05) adjusted R^2 and pseudo R^2 represent the capacity of the independent variables to explain the variation of ferritin in the OLS and QR regression models, respectively.

Table 2: Impact of adjustments on estimated iron deficiency prevalence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Quantile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R-(CRP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>3.079*</td>
<td>(0.031)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(CRP)</td>
<td>0.074*</td>
<td>(0.030)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(AGP)</td>
<td>0.330*</td>
<td>(0.111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(CRP)^2</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(AGP)^2</td>
<td>0.585*</td>
<td>(0.096)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R^2 and pseudo R^2</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact of adjustments on estimated iron deficiency prevalence

Table 2 summarizes the median ferritin estimates and adjusted and non-adjusted iron deficiency prevalences for the two correction approaches. Adjusting ferritin concentrations using internal reference values for inflammation produced a mean increase in iron deficiency prevalences of 2.6, 2.7 and 4.5 percentage points according to the R_C-(CRP), R_C-(AGP) and R_C-(CRP, AGP) methods. Iron deficiency prevalence calculated using the QR_C-(CRP), QR_C-(AGP), and QR_C-(CRP, AGP) methods led to a mean increase of 2.8, 2.8, and 6.3 percentage points (Table 2).

Figure 3b shows that the estimates of the effect of ln(AGP) in the QRc-(AGP) model is significantly higher at the 0.50 quantile of the distribution when compared to the mean estimate, indicating that around the median of the conditional distribution of ferritin, the linear effect of AGP could be greater than that estimated by regression to the mean.

The statistical significance of differences between estimated coefficients at conditional quantiles

The estimates of the linear effects of the two inflammation biomarkers on ln(ferritin) at almost all quantiles in the QRc-(CRP, AGP) model are within or very close to the OLS estimate’s confidence interval limits (Figure 3c), while the effect of ln^2(CRP) at the 0.25th percentile is significantly larger than the estimate for the mean, confirming that CRP’s quadratic effect is larger than that estimated by classical regression in this part of the distribution.

Statistical significance of differences between estimated coefficients at conditional quantiles

The analyses of greatest interest focused on the 0.10, 0.25, and 0.50 quantiles, where adjusting for the effect of inflammation on ferritin concentrations was most likely to produce a change in iron deficiency prevalence, since they represent the subpopulation of children with ferritin concentrations around the WHO-recommended cutoff-point defining iron deficiency.

The effects of CRP (both linear and quadratic) are similar across quantiles in the QRc-(CRP) model. The coefficient equality test[27] shows that there are no statistically significant differences between estimated values in the 0.10, 0.25 and 0.50 quantiles. In the QR-(CRP) model, statistically significant differences were found (F = 5.0548, p <0.05) in AGP’s linear effect between the 0.10 and 0.50 quantiles.

The tests for the equality of the estimated coefficients in the QRc-(CRP, AGP) model indicate that there are significant differences in the quadratic effect of CRP between the 0.10 and 0.25 quantiles (F = 4.1486, p <0.05) and in the effect quadratic of the AGP between quantiles 0.10 and 0.50 (F = 4.5198, p <0.05).

The statistical significance of OLS and QR estimate difference

Within the 0.25 to 0.90 quantiles of the QR-(CRP) model, estimates of ln(CRP)’s effects are within the estimation interval of the OLS regression (Figure 3a), which indicates that in this part of ferritin’s conditional distribution, the linear relationships identified by QR are the same as those suggested by classical regression. However, the effect of ln^2(CRP) at the 0.25 quantile is significantly higher than the OLS estimate, suggesting that in this part of the distribution, CRP’s quadratic effect is higher than the OLS estimate.

The analyses of greatest interest focused on the 0.10, 0.25, and 0.50 quantiles. In the QRc-(CRP, AGP) model are within or very close to the OLS estimate’s confidence interval limits (Figure 3c), while the effect of ln^2(CRP) at the 0.25th percentile is significantly larger than the estimate for the mean, confirming that CRP’s quadratic effect is larger than that estimated by classical regression in this part of the distribution.

Figure 3b shows that the estimates of the effect of ln(AGP) in the QRc-(AGP) model is significantly higher at the 0.50 quantile of the distribution when compared to the mean estimate, indicating that around the median of the conditional distribution of ferritin, the linear effect of AGP could be greater than that estimated by regression to the mean.
(see graph in Table 2), but they could be important from an epidemiological point of view, since the upper limit of the intervals reaches differences in prevalence >6% with respect to the unadjusted model. However, their confidence intervals overlap, so it cannot be ruled out (with an error probability of less than 5%), that the small prevalence differences obtained from these models are due to chance.

The differences in the prevalence of iron deficiency estimated before and after adjustment by RrC-(CRP, AGP) and QRrC-(CRP, AGP) models are statistically significant \( p < 0.05 \). Estimated prevalence is higher when QRrC-(CRP, AGP) is used. In this case, the confidence interval's upper limit for the differences in prevalence is greater than 9%.

**DISCUSSION**

Coefficients estimated by linear regression for the sample of 1365 children were slightly different than those obtained by Pita,[16] due to the exclusion of the 10 individuals with outliers in AGP and CRP values.

The small values of the \( R^2 \) and pseudo \( R^2 \) fit measures[28] and the significant p-values of coefficients in the quadratic regression and quantile quadratic regression models indicate that although the data show high variability, there is a non-linear trend to the response which offers relevant information on the relationship between ferritin and biomarkers for inflammation. Note that the goal of regression correction methods is not to predict ferritin concentrations, but to remove inflammation’s effect on ferritin concentrations. In quantile regression, the best estimate for each individual is the one that minimizes the absolute difference between observed values and estimated values for each of the quantile models.

Quantile regression was able to detect that inflammation may have different effects on different parts of iron status’ conditional distribution. In agreement with other studies,[6,29] we found that high concentrations of CRP and AGP are associated with high ferritin concentrations, but it would be risky to generalize the effect of inflammation on these values. These results suggest that inflammation exerts less influence on ferritin concentrations in children with the highest iron stores.

Reference values for serum ferritin concentrations fall within the range of 15–300 \( \mu g/L \), and are lower in children.[9] Apparently-healthy children were selected in the current study, so it is expected that in the subpopulation with high ferritin concentrations resulting from high iron stores, physiological homeostasis would be expected to control inflammation’s effect on regulating deviations in iron status indicator ranges, thus maintaining a state of balance in the body.

The use of quadratic regression models to estimate inflammation’s influence on ferritin allows us to recognize that the mean effect of inflammation on ferritin concentrations in this sample is manifested from AGP and CRP values below the limits WHO designates as clinically relevant (CRP ≥5 and AGP >1).[2]
Inflammation’s effect on the population of children with the highest (0.75 and 0.90 quantiles) and lowest (0.10 quantile) iron stores also provoked an overestimation of ferritin values. However, while an individual correction for inflammation would result in a decrease in estimated ferritin concentration values, these changes would not modify the children’s iron status classification, or, consequently, iron deficiency prevalence.

Using QR as a method of estimating the effects of inflammation on ferritin reduces the bias that undetected ferritin values can introduce. In this investigation, the censored data comprise part of the 10% of observations located below the regression line of the 0.10 quantile, so when substituting the unknown value for the minimum detected value, there is no significant change in estimates of the effects of inflammation biomarkers on ferritin at the distribution’s lower end.

Inflammation’s effects may be more important in some subpopulations than in others, but if the effects estimated in the conditional quantiles are considered equivalent to the effect estimated using only the conditional expectation, the R_C approach may be preferred over the QR_C method, due to its simplicity; especially in population studies. But before making decisions based on these results, the QR_C estimate’s confidence intervals should be checked to see whether they include values with important epidemiological implications.

Another aspect to consider is the choice of inflammation cut-off points, because iron deficiency estimates depend not only on figures of iron reserves in the population, but also on the presence of inflammation in the population. To avoid overfitting, corrections of ferritin concentrations are restricted to individuals whose inflammatory biomarkers exceed reference values. The BRINDA group[7] recommends using the upper limit of the first decile of each biomarker as a reference value. Based on this criteria, Pita[16] obtained a CRP reference value of 0.10 mg/L and an AGP reference value of 0.54 g/L.

In the current study, the non-linear trend between inflammation and ferritin concentrations obtained with the quadratic regression models R_C-(CRP) and R_C-(AGP) showed that in a population with low inflammation levels (such as those in the Cuban population),[16] the threshold from which we can assume that inflammation begins to exert influence on ferritin concentrations may be greater than that determined by the upper limit of the first decile (CRP = 0.31 mg/L and AGP = 0.67 g/L).

The QR_C approach reflects the underlying relationship between ferritin and inflammation better than the RC approach, but differences in the estimated effects along the conditional distribution of ferritin with respect to mean effects did not produce important differences in iron deficiency prevalences adjusted by both methods.

Interest in correcting ferritin focused on the 0.25 quantile, which represents the subpopulation of children with ferritin concentrations close to the cut-off point recommended by WHO to signal iron deficiency (ferritin <12 μg/L). According to the QR_C-(CRP, AGP) method, 80.8% of children with potentially overestimated ferritin values—who, after applying the inflammation correction changed their status from adequate iron stores to iron deficiency—were part of the 0.25 quantile subpopulation.

Inflammation’s effect on ferritin may be greater in some subpopulations and therefore the adjusted concentrations in these subpopulations will decrease more compared to adjusted concentrations in other subpopulations. However, these differences will only be important to prevalence if they occur in the subpopulation of children whose ferritin values are around the 0.25 quantile, which is the quantile closest to the cut-off point recommended by WHO to define iron deficiency (ferritin <12 μg/L).[2]

In this investigation, the effects of inflammation that were statistically significant at the 0.25 quantile only occurred for CRP’s quadratic effect in the QR_C-(CRP) and QR_C-(CRP, AGP) models.

Compared with unadjusted prevalence, the R_C and QR_C approaches led to similar iron prevalence estimates when ferritin concentrations were adjusted for CRP or AGP.

The highest estimates were obtained when ferritin concentrations were adjusted for both biomarkers, particularly when the QR_C approach was used. Developing tools to examine correction method validity is both merited and necessary.

One limitation of this study is that the children selected for the study came from a two-stage cluster sample,[16] so...
the results may not reflect the diversity of the entire Cuban population. Furthermore, its cross-sectional nature precludes analysis of any seasonal influence of inflammation on ferritin concentrations.

CONCLUSIONS

The combined use of quadratic regression and quantile regression is a useful analytical resource to explain the peculiarity of how ferritin levels change in the presence of inflammation. Each function’s vertex can be a guide suggesting the threshold from which inflammation begins to influence ferritin concentrations. The quantile regression correction allows estimating higher prevalences of iron deficiency if CRP and AGP values are included at the same time. Correction methods using quadratic regression and quantile quadratic regression models confirm that inflammation can lead to underestimating iron deficiency prevalence in Cuban children aged under five years.

The proposed approach can be used to complement standard correction analysis. Comparisons using different correction methods can reduce discrepancies between statistical estimates, while helping interpret results in both biochemical and epidemiological terms.
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